

work but the proposal, if you will turn to page 1425 you will see how the amendment, which is on your desk, so that you could look at it and read it into LR 57. I believe that you have the proposal in front of you. You can see the compromise which is meant to be wording that all of us who are wanting to work toward peace and toward a lessening of hostilities can support. It also is a proposal that our military forces ought to be able to support. It is an indication to the President, to members of Congress and to the people of the State of Nebraska that the Legislature does care. We are aware that over 70% of Nebraskans, we are aware that something like 79% nationally are supporting a move in the area of freeze on nuclear weaponry. This allows the negotiation to continue. It is not all either side would want. It is a move to give a message and I think that is very important for the majority of the people of our state and the majority of the people of the United States are concerned about nuclear weapons and the continual buildup for up till now there has not been a new weapon developed that has not been at some time used. The enemy is not a country or a series of countries. The enemy is nuclear war. The people of the United States and the people of the Soviet Union both need to speak to their governments. This is a tool which says, this, too, is going on record. This, too, is an encouragement to our government to do what needs to be done for the benefit of the peoples of the world. I will support LR 57 with this amendment. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the Marsh amendment? Senator Wesely. Let me ask first, I have these names listed for recognition: Kilgarin, Fenger, Wesley, Goll, Hefner and Beutler. At the moment we are discussing the motion by Senator Marsh. Senator Kilgarin, do you wish recognition on this motion? Then Senator Fenger. Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Yes. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Marsh has made a good faith effort to try and recognize some of the concerns some members have expressed with the language of this resolution. Clearly the resolution as amended by the Government Committee recognizes that we want to see a negotiated nuclear arms freeze and that is clearly what our intent was from the very beginning and the committee amendments try to clarify that. I think what Senator Marsh is trying to do is clarify that further. She does two things. The first is to take out the word "immediate" from the freeze. If we are going to negotiate a freeze I guess we can't assume that it would be immediate. Any type of negotiation will take some time, so we recognize that fact in eliminating the word "immediate"