

But before you can say that this procedure has validity then you should require both parties to the transaction to participate in the procedure. To do otherwise is to try to clap hands with only one hand. So what my amendment will do is say, that the Legislature really means it when it expresses the intention to cut down on needless litigation. In order that you can follow the amendment and it is clear in the record, I'm going to read what it would say. "A manufacturer shall establish and participate in the dispute settlement procedure certified by the Director of Motor Vehicles within the guidelines of such standards and, the provisions of Section 3 of this act concerning refunds or replacements shall not apply to any consumer who is not first resorted to such a procedure." I wonder where Senator Wesely is, is he in the Chamber? I want to ask him a question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wesely, based on your earlier comments, I got the distinct impression that you agree with, however you characterize Paul Harvey, you agreed with Paul Harvey in the notion that there might be too much litigation and too many lawyers active. Do you, based on that position, see anything wrong with this procedure which would require both parties to participate in this thing that is to be set up by the Department of Motor Vehicles?

SENATOR WESELY: Senator Chambers, I agree with your amendment. I think it makes sense and it seems to me that if we require the consumer to participate in mediation we ought to require the manufacturer. I think Senator Morehead and I were talking about it and I don't want to drag her into this, but it seems to me that some of the manufacturers are already participating and it has worked well and why not require it. I guess it makes sense to me and I support your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I don't have anything else to say on the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp. Can we have order in the Chamber please and all members are required to be in their seats on Final Reading.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, I oppose the amendment and I would hope that we would vote on the bill, finally. I think this bill has probably been on Final Reading more times than any other one and I think I would sure as blazes give them the benefit of the doubt whether it was passing over for additional amendments, whether it was this, that,