

April 6, 1983

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would like to pose that question to Senator Warner if I could.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. Senator Warner, would you respond, please?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Beutler, there is....I don't know that I can tell you two or three that are more significant. All of them, the bulk of them rather, deal with funds source. It is not increase in appropriation but it is a shift from general fund to the fees. That is the largest number. And in any case, whichever ones would not be advanced, however small they may be on those fund source things, automatically is added to the general fund. The more important thing in the evolution of events I should point out I suppose is that two things in the past, we have used one bill for a variety of things. We try to avoid that. More importantly, however, in the past and I am not talking the recent past but going back many years, from time to time there was substantive legislation in the appropriation bill which because of a variety of Attorney General's opinions has been held. Substantive law cannot be put into the general appropriation bill. They had to carry separate legislation and that evolution brought about the introduction of a variety of bills to make substantive law change to reflect the appropriation bill and that is what the bulk of these are.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Am I to take it from that, Senator Warner, that you are not particularly interested in dividing out the eight and fifty thousand dollar items from the million dollar items?

SENATOR WARNER: No.

SENATOR BEUTLER: No?

SENATOR WARNER: No, I'm not particularly interested. Is that your question?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Were you through, Senator Beutler? Okay, Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: I call the question, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. The question is, shall debate cease. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. We are voting to cease debate. Record, Mr. Clerk.