

particularly because they receive only two years of undergraduate education but the critical difference is the clinical experience. The chiropractors aren't required, don't go into the specialties and into the residencies like our medical practitioners do and they are not involved in any hospital setting in observing a wider range of medical problems and other difficulties that we see in hospitals, and without that critical clinical experience and without exposures to the symptoms and the problems that plague our society, they simply do not have the background to perform this basic diagnostic function, and for us to allow them legally to do that, may yet for us to require all of us to reimburse them for that is almost criminal in my mind and, therefore, I feel the obligation to object to LB 142. I only posed the kill motion after several amendments and after several attempts at compromising with these groups but I think no compromise which is in the best interest of the people of the State of Nebraska is achieved now and it is unlikely that any will be achieved. The other point I would make, even if you would give the benefit of the doubt to Senator Chronister and Senator Sieck with respect to the educational background of chiropractors today, if you were to assume that they were coming out of chiropractic schools trained to do these functions today, and let me say for the record that I make no such assumption, but even if I were to give them the benefit of the doubt and assume that that argument was correct, what LB 142 does is grandfather in all of those chiropractors in the State of Nebraska who have absolutely no training in many of these areas including those chiropractors in the State of Nebraska who went straight from high school to chiropractic school or some of these other areas. And so we are not only licensing those people who have received the benefit of increased education, but we are grandfathering in and licensing and giving individuals who have not had that experience more legal authority. It is an illogical thing that LB 142 proposes. I urge you to join me in indefinitely postponing this bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is, shall LB 142 be indefinitely postponed. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 8 ayes, 20 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to indefinitely postpone the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Do you have something else on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, first of all if I may, Senator Abboud would like to print amendments to LB 122 in the Journal.