

April 5, 1983

LB 628

to the State of Nebraska. I'm sure when LB 17 comes around Senator DeCamp will want to fund this amendment by supporting that bill and for that reason I will vote for this amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Newell, on the amendment.

SENATOR NEWELL: I would like to ask just a quick question because I misunderstood this issue, I think.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR NEWELL: Senator DeCamp, you are adding \$125,000 pursuant to the Governor's recommendation for the industrial development training program. Is that correct? Isn't it. . .you're adding, just adding the money, you are not shifting the money as the Appropriations Committee did, you are adding the money?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I am adding the money because when they did the shifting, as I understand, of committee, I've had my little note now that explains this complicated process. When they decided to keep the four field offices open they shifted money and, therefore, the money that was anticipated for this particular thing was shifted to somewhere else and they only ended up with not all the money they expected.

SENATOR NEWELL: Okay, thank you, Senator DeCamp. Senator Warner, could I ask you this very simple question?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, would you respond, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR NEWELL: This amendment adds back \$125,000 for the industrial development but it does not. . .it also preserves, it does not in any way reduce the four area offices for the Department, does it?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Newell, as I understand what was done or the difference between the Governor's budget and what the Appropriations Committee did, originally the Governor's budget contemplated the discontinuation of the area offices around the state and in part then the savings, in effect, by discontinuing those was used at a level of \$125,000 for "industrial development" I guess. The people involved in part were shifted over to the tourism in the amount of \$125,000. What the committee did and in part the Department of Economic Development at the public hearing to some extent revised the request and asked for con-