

March 10, 1983

LB 26

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the Warner amendment? If not, all those in favor of the Warner amendment to LB 26 vote aye, opposed vote no. Needless to say, 25 votes required. The motion is to adopt the Warner amendment as explained to LB 26. The motion before the House is an adoption of an amendment offered by Senator Warner to LB 26. Please record your vote. Have you all voted? The Clerk will record the vote.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment.

PRESIDENT: Anything further...the motion is adopted. The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Pirsch would now move to amend the bill.

PRESIDENT: Let's have order in the Chamber please for the consideration of these amendments. (Gavel). Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the body, I want you to close your eyes and imagine that Emil Beyer is speaking to you. Okay? I am new at this rural-urban split and Senator Beyer, of course, is very experienced and would do a much better job, but since I have become interested and have become a representative of rural and urban, this is also an issue that I have an interest in and that I will become more and more familiar with, I am sure. The amendments were passed out to you on the floor, AM0574. This amendment would allow owners of ten acres or more to be eligible to qualify for the Green Belt exemption. They would still have to meet the other parts of the test. They would have land located outside the corporate boundaries of any SID, city, or village, which is used exclusively for agriculture use and is located within an agriculture use zone. Now the reason also that we are changing the resident, single family dwelling is that the Department of Revenue advised us to use parcels instead of using the single family dwelling. This would then put it at 10 acres or more, those owners who fit that qualification. The second amendment which is on that sheet also is technical and perhaps might even be corrected by the E & R enrollment. Amendment three deletes the land not being in an agriculture use zone because this already would be covered by subsection (5) which states that the land shall become disqualified for the Green Belt exemption upon the occurrence of any event which would prevent the application of the special assessment to such land under Section 77-1343 to 77-1348. Subsection (5) would