

March 25, 1983

LB 108

committee amendments to LB 108. The Clerk will record. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I would ask for a Call of the House.

PRESIDENT: A Call of the House has been requested. The question is, shall the House come under Call. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? The Clerk will record the vote.

CLERK: 15 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, to go under Call.

PRESIDENT: The motion is carried. The House is under Call for the purpose of the vote on the committee amendments on LB 108. Please, all senators return to the Chamber, record your presence. We will take call in votes on this matter. We will take call in votes on the committee amendments to LB 108, either aye or nay. The House is under Call. Please record your presence. We will take call in votes on the committee amendments.

CLERK: Senator Newell voting yes. Senator Kilgarin voting yes.

PRESIDENT: The Clerk will record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of committee amendments.

PRESIDENT: The committee amendments are adopted. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am taking this bill on behalf of Senator Vard Johnson who is absent today but who originally brought us the policy question of the confidentiality of library records. This is a bill that applies to publicly funded libraries and it indicates that they need not make available the names of people who check out certain books. It arises from the experience in other places in which various organizations of one kind or another in political life ask their public library to provide them with the names of people who check out certain books and the bill indicates that these records need not be made public. The simple procedure that we use in small town libraries is simply to take a black marker and mark out the previous bookholder's name and, therefore, leaving your right to read without other people knowing what your reading tastes are, protected. With that policy choice explicit I would move the advancement of LB 108.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion? If not, the motion