

March 24, 1983

LB 64, 234A, 447

CLERK: Mr. President, I have an explanation of vote. I am sorry, I don't either.

Mr. President, Senator DeCamp has a unanimous consent request to withdraw a proposed rules change.

I have a message from the Enrolling Clerk who presented the bills read on Final Reading to the Governor. (See 1133, Legislative Journal.)

And your committee on Judiciary reports 447 advanced to General File with committee amendments attached.

And, Mr. President, a new A bill, LB 234A (read title for first time). (See page 1134, Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, LB 64 (read title). The bill was first read on January 6th. It was referred to the Banking Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. There are committee amendments pending, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members, I suggest you adopt the amendments. I am not sure what all is in them but they are agreed to by all of the major groups and the battle on this bill is going to be between the various individuals like Senator Newell, Senator Labeledz, Senator Landis, the GUD (phonetic) administration, whatever. I think everybody has agreed you need the amendments on the bill so you can have the battle over the bill. So I suggest you adopt the amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask Senator DeCamp a question.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Why not.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Senator DeCamp, I would like an explanation of how you say we should vote for these amendments if you don't know what is in them?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Well, because the amendments are technical things in this what I think is going to end up being a pretty controversial bill that represent corrections in the energy legislation, certain pieces of energy legislation over the years that have been agreed to by every single group that had a particular interest in this particular issue. These included home builders, departments