

March 2, 1983

LB 155

because I have a more substantial question.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Why don't you get to the end of the line? Over the years I have become gun-shy of saying a, b, c, d, and then you get me into a corner. I'll let you....

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR DeCAMP: I'm going to eat the box and I'll decide whether I want to jump in.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm going to address you as the Henry Clay of the Legislature this morning, the Great Compromiser, who would rather be right than president, who is seldom one....

SENATOR DeCAMP: Not true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would never be the other, but here is my question. If I accept what you say about "reasonable", are you willing then to substitute words and take a term which the law is familiar with and instead of saying "substantially," say "unreasonably", which does not unreasonably impair such use in market value since you selected the term reasonable as being the one that the law is familiar with?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I actually think that is a better word. I personally would accept that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I will too.

SENATOR DeCAMP: It is a much better word because it has all kinds of meaning in law.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, Mr. Chairman, my motion was to return it to strike that word. Can I ask to withdraw that amendment and then offer one that would trade "unreasonably" for "substantially?"

SENATOR DeCAMP: Trouble with Ernie is every time you trap him he turns around and traps you back.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

PRESIDENT: You may withdraw this amendment without objection and....

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, and now....

PRESIDENT: ...substitute the other one.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. What I am asking