

April 7, 1976

our Fiscal Staff can come up with that information when, according to the check of the Statutes in Iowa, it hasn't even been passed yet.

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Senator Goodrich, there are many things that you left out the other day. As I said, it has permissive legislation. For a cost of \$10 they... It has permissive legislation. They abandoned the use of a photo negative file which you stood on this floor and said was going to be so great. It doesn't say whether the bill has been passed. It says they abandoned the use of the very thing that you thought was so great about the bill.

SENATOR GOODRICH: OK, thank you Senator Rasmussen. Members, Mr. President, members of the body. I naturally am going to oppose the motion to return this bill. I'm presuming the motion is to return it to strike the enacting clause. Regardless of whether you return it that kills the bill anyhow. As far as whether Iowa uses or does not use the negative retrieval, the negative retrieval is the thing that law enforcement does want, regardless of whether it's in the form of negative retrieval or in the form of the picture available to be copied. That doesn't make any difference in our two systems that can be used by law enforcement, depending on what our Department of Motor Vehicles happens to select as far as low bidder is concerned. Regardless of which way they go, the tool to law enforcement is there and it can be used. I would strongly urge you, since this actually has no fiscal impact to the state I would strongly urge you, lets not bring this back but lets let the ... lets pass it and let the law enforcement people of Nebraska have this tool at their disposal. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, Senator Goodrich said this bill has no fiscal impact but it does. He even has an "A" bill attached and he did not see fit to establish a drivers license photograph fund which would make sure that the only money paid out for this program would be that which was taken in. There is a provision in his "A" bill for a General Fund appropriation. Senator Goodrich's "A" bill calls for a \$70,000 appropriation. The more correct figure would be something like \$300,000. This comes from the General Fund, Senator Goodrich, not an earmarked or specially designated fund which will pay out only what is taken in by your gimmick. Now you wonder how the Fiscal Staff of the Legislature could have information different from that which you have. Well, apparently, you had different information when you sent your "A" bill then everybody else in the state who has information about the cost of a program like this. So the mere fact that you have different information I don't think proves any point other than that you're consistent on this point like you are on many others. I'd like to ask you a question or two if I may. This may help your bill.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Fire away.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Goodrich, now I made the remark at an earlier stage in trying to kill this bill that you sped past ... up this isle and said to Senator Lewis, "Don't worry about this one, Dick, ... or Frank, this one has been greased". Did you make that remark? Do you remember making that statement?