

March 18, 1976

SENATOR GOODRICH: This is taking that very same program but splitting the Sheriffs and Indians apart as far as the Indian alcohol programs are concerned.

SENATOR MURPHY: Then you are amending 131? Is that right?

SENATOR GOODRICH: I'm amending the statutory base for 131 which what we have done... See the Indians aren't happy with the Sheriff's running their alcohol program. They say all he does is have a drunk tank there and he funds it.

SENATOR MURPHY: What's new?

SENATOR GOODRICH: So we are splitting the Sheriffs for law enforcement purposes away from the alcohol program.

SENATOR MURPHY: I guess what I'm asking then, are these the funds that we had been funding under 131 and you are splitting those funds rather than coming up with new funds? Or are we going to additionally have funds provided under 131?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Under 131, there are 72,000 that you are talking about, it's based on the value of the 30 mill, what the 30 mills would be.

SENATOR MURPHY: Right.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Now, that produces the 72,000 and what we have as an "A" bill here for 36,988 dollars which takes care of the alcohol programs.

SENATOR MURPHY: But it is a split out of that 72?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Yes.

SENATOR MURPHY: It's not an addition...?

SENATOR GOODRICH: No, no, I'm sorry. It's in addition to the 72.

SENATOR MURPHY: It's in addition to 72?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Yes. It's separate, 4 and above.

SENATOR MURPHY: Then we also will have that 30 mills under 131 for the same purpose?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Yes. The reason the additional money is necessary, we could have taken, for example, the 72,000 and split that but that wasn't enough to meet the Sheriff's needs in both Thurston and Knox County. We are adding Knox County to the Sheriff's side of the bill.

SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you.

SEN. MILLS: Senator Burbach.

SENATOR BURBACH: I believe it's pretty clear now. Senator Goodrich explained to Senator Murphy a question that I think was in many peoples minds. This 71 or 72,000 dollars, whatever the figure might be is the result of that amount of equivalent of a tax, in lieu of tax for the operations and then the 35,000 is an additional 11 or 12,000. Is this correct Senator Goodrich?