

March 18, 1976

Senator Rasmussen voting no, Senator Rumery voting aye, Senator Savage voting no, Senator Schmit, Senator Simpson voting no, Senator Skarda voting no, Senator Stoney voting aye, Senator Stull voting no, Senator Swigart voting aye, Senator Syas voting no, Senator Warner, Senator Wiltse. Senator Wiltse voting no?

PRESIDENT: Senator George moves that the call be raised. All in favor say aye. Contrary say nay. The call is raised.

CLERK: Senator Wiltse changing from no to aye. 27 ayes. 18 nays.

PRESIDENT: The bill return Senator Stoney.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, I move that the amendment be adopted, please.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: I had a question of Senator DeCamp, if I could.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR FOWLER: If we adopt Senator Stoney's amendment what would be left in the bill?

SENATOR DECAMP: Well the three amendments adopted previously.

SENATOR FOWLER: And what would those be?

SENATOR DECAMP: Joint tenancy, definition of territories, the law now writes territories but doesn't describe where. The 16 year olds, if you adopt this, you struck, what was the other thing. Oh, Fair Board.

SENATOR FOWLER: Okay, can you explain the joint tenancy for me please? Or the territory, explain the one on territory.

SENATOR DECAMP: I've explained this whole thing about three times so... Okay, joint tenancy, husband and wife, if any application is now made the license is issued in one or the others name. If one of them dies that puts the problem of operating the business or whether the business can even operate, in a limbo area. The Liquor Commission, at this time, as I understand when this occurs tries to accommodate them in some way but they have no legal authority. This gives them the legal authority to resolve it by issuing a license in joint tenancy, both their names, if they both qualify so if one dies the other can continue. Next one. Territories. If you read the law now it specifies that people are suppose to have territories or operate in territories, however, there is no provision as to define the territories or how this occurs. It's my understanding that under this provision these would be written specifically on the license and violations would constitute a basis for pulling the license. So it is in accord with existing law. Now I'm sure you have heard within the last 10 minutes, as I have also heard, questions about how does this affect something with Coors beer. Well I can't tell you specifically how that affects Coors beer