

March 18, 1976

should be way sufficient to take care of this emergency situation. I think you understand what I mean when a fellow comes out at 6:00 or 5:00 in the morning, he goes out on a rescue mission, he may be in a strange field someplace and he has to spend out of pocket money. I don't think he should be out of that money for that length of time it takes the federal government to get it back to him.

SENATOR KELLY: Thank you very much Senator Duis. I got the picture straight now. Should anybody be interested, my position would be of this nature, is that they should have a revolving fund. That the Department of Aeronautics can administer the CAP. I have no objection in these areas but I have come to believe that appropriating general fund money to the Department of Aeronautics is an out and out mistake and that this agency is clean, it doesn't have us legislators crawling down their backs. They produce their own money through gasoline taxes and they spend their own money for improving airports. If they use \$5,000 of their cash fund they will not be spending that for the CAP, they will be spending the federal money that's reimbursed, they will be for 30 days, 45 days borrowing from their cash fund and as a gasoline taxpayer in aviation I have no objection to that loan. I would have strenuous objection to the use of the gas tax money for the CAP. I believe the revolving fund would be satisfactory, I believe general funds would be inappropriate.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, I'll withdraw my amendment.

PRESIDENT: Is there an objection? I see none. The amendment is withdrawn.

CLERK: I ask unanimous consent to unbracket 204 on E & R final. Signed Senator DeCamp.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, 204, as you recall, is the bill that set a minimum price on liquor and as you will also recall we had an Attorney General's opinion and he says, no you can't do it. Whether I agree or disagree with the opinion it's kind of irrelevant. Going to, with your permission of course, going to strip the bill of all those provisions and use it for about 3 or 4 different purposes, non-controversial issues of various Senators here, Senator Burbach and Maresh had one, involving the State Fair. Senator Nichol had one, I think the Liquor Commission had one and the one that was already in there. One would be joint tendency so that a liquor license could be in husband's and the wife's name and if they both are qualified, if one dies the other doesn't have to go through the procedure of buying a new license immediately and be out of business immediately. That's the one provision, so that it wouldn't interrupt their business. The other is territory, at the present time the law says, at the present time the law says you have territories but the law doesn't arrange how the Liquor Commission writes it on the license. It just says it will be specified on the license itself. The other one is, 16 year olds and over can actually clear tables in a restaurant. In other words, there are alot of restaurants where liquor is served. They have to bring in a special person to clean off the tables because