

March 12, 1976

take several years to bring about reduced premiums. Now he is on the side of LB703 and he has been assisting the lobbyists with this bill. If it is a lie, the paper told it. I handed this around to you. So, if you are talking about insurance premiums, and this doctor who is on your side tells you that the passage of this bill is not going to affect insurance premiums, then what are we going to be left with? The threat that the doctors made May 5th or May 6th at a hearing that they are all going to quit practicing medicine? You know that they are not going to quit practicing medicine. What else can they do? Medicine is a very limited restricted field and disciplined in study. I handed around another article to show that medical students don't even feel that they get proper educational disciplines presented to them in their preparations for a doctor. So, not only are they restricted in terms of saleable skills, and by the way I am being facetious when I refer to them as "meat cutters", I don't think that they could use what they learned in medical school and be a meat cutter in a packing house without some kind of training in addition to what they have, so strike all that from the record about the doctors and the meatcutters. Neither one could use his skills in the field of the other without a little polishing. The point that I am trying to make here is that the doctors are not going to quit being doctors. If they say, or their representatives say that passage of 703 is not going to reduce insurance premiums, then he has said that you don't need 703. It is not doing what we told you with the 130 some plus thousand dollars, is not going to do all that it said that it would do. If on the other hand we are talking about competent medical and hospital care, there is nothing in the bill that guarantees that because rather than impose a high standard of care on a doctor it takes away all standards. There are 15,000 doctors in this state. I have been told that...1,500? Excuse me, I always add an extra zero. There are 1,500 doctors in this state. It costs I have been told about \$25,000 when you consider everything to educate a doctor. I think that there is no limit on the number of barbers who can be in the state. I don't think that there is a limit on the number of lawyers. But, if there is a limit on the number of doctors and it costs so much to educate each one, then you would be justified in imposing a high standard of care on them and their very specialized narrow field of endeavor than you would be justified in imposing on anybody else. But, this bill, this bill, places a lesser standard of care on the doctor and he has no price to pay, if as I said earlier he doesn't even wash his hands. I believe that a patient coming into a doctors office knowing that the only one that can practice the healing arts is one who is licensed to be a doctor in this state, so he or she must come to this doctor and be given the kind of choice or non-choice that this bill pretends to give is a very cruel hoax. We are dealing with people who whether their life is at stake or not could feel that their life is at stake. If their breath comes short, their heart and they start seeing flashes and their vision is blurred they might think that they are having a heart-attack and they could be. Maybe their shoes are too tight or maybe the necktie is, but they don't have any way of knowing that and if you have come to a doctor in this frame