

March 3, 1976

have been issued and at what point in time. I have relied upon the figures supplied by Mr. Dunlevey in the Supreme Court and Senator Barnett has basically presented to you different figures in alleging that those figures are inaccurate. I don't want to go into great detail over it. I think that you have to make the judgment upon those figures. I don't think that Mr. Dunlevey would mislead you or would attempt to mislead this Legislature. He supplied those figures upon the authorization of the Court. I don't think that the Court would attempt to mislead the Legislature. If it would, we have a serious, a much more serious problem here than simply the need for additional justices. We have a problem of not being able to rely on the veracity of the Court Administrator and, therefore, the Court, and to think that is just too harsh a judgment for this Legislature to make. I think that if you believe the Court's figures the need is justified or will shortly be justified that the Court will need additional assistance. They are now relying upon District Court judges to come in and render that assistance and split in separate panels in order that they can carry the current workload and, certainly within this year or the next year, the next two years, that system is going to be overloaded and there is no reason not to give this Legislature the authority to address the problem when it arises and it surely will. We have this alternative or we will have to accept another alternative of an intermediate or appellate court. I think that this is by far the more desirable one. This is a timely amendment. It is one that gives the Legislature the power to deal with the problem when it arises and it surely will arise. I would urge the Legislature to reconsider their action and pass LB 817.

SPEAKER BURBACH: The Chair recognizes Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, members, before I start, I want to put this button on. I have got a blue button here that says, Senator Cavanaugh. Now remember that when you close. I want to put that button on because I want this to be understood that I have nothing against the opportunity of Senator Cavanaugh to reconsider his motion. I think it only fair that they be allowed to try it. I want to renew two or three things that I said when we discussed the bill a week ago or within the last week. It has been suggested to me that I was anti-Omaha when I voted for this bill. I am not anti-Omaha. I'd like to make a point that Senator, the one that mentioned it to me on this issue, Senator Savage, the person that suggested it to me, I think that you have one of the finest judges sitting on the bench from Omaha right now in Judge Brodkey. I don't think you can find a better man as far as I am concerned or a finer gentleman to talk to and I am sure that that is true. I think that when you look at this bill you set it up in a district thing which I, myself, personally find that it is not the proper way to handle it. I think that some of the judges, that some of the finest judges we have in