

March 3, 1976

an unreasonable requirement levied upon them. I have tried to lay out to you some of the ways that we could simplify the requirements made upon the County Assessor by any future legislation. I am convinced we can do that and I think you probably realize also that we can. As far as farmers coming forward, where are the farmers? I'd like to call your attention, Senator Nichol, to the fact that two members of the Farmers Union did testify. Then Senator Keyes got up in Committee and said, wait a minute, where are the farmers that are for this, and before he could finish the particular statement, two farmers came up and testified. Incidentally, none of those farmers were there at my request. This would be of assistance to some agricultural people in the state. More importantly, it is an assistance to all of us by conserving our most valuable resource. There is a tax incentive advantage. It is true. There is also a very important psychological advantage that we begin to do something to encourage property owners to be willing to put their land under water, not only to benefit themselves through irrigation and for domestic purposes and for grazing their cattle, but to protect those people downstream as well. I, for these reasons, and for many more that I could give, oppose the kill motion and hope you will do likewise.

SPEAKER BURBACH: The Chair recognizes Senator Maresh.

SENATOR MARESH: Mr. President, I would like to top Senator Swigart's little goody. A pit should be dug, be right or it wrong, and I move the previous question.

SPEAKER BURBACH: The previous question has been asked for. Do I see five hands? Do I see five hands? Two, three, four, five. Seeing five hands, the question is, shall debate cease? Have you all voted? The question is, shall debate cease? Have you all voted? The Clerk will record.

CLERK: 17 ayes, 10 nays.

SPEAKER BURBACH: Motion fails. The Chair recognizes Senator Duis. To speak on the subject, Senator.

SENATOR DUIS: I did but I kind of gave up but I will make just one statement. I wanted to ask one further question or actually make a statement that I think Senator Cope didn't quite finish his narrative in regard to that fish pond. I think if you would find what is going to happen to we people out in the rural areas that after we have created this nice pond with the fish in it for our pleasure and not for commercial, the next step will be, thou shalt not put a sign up "No One Admitted" and the next thing you know everybody is going to be coming across your place to fish in that pond and you would have no way of stopping them and, consequently, that is private property but if you don't pay taxes on it, it is a little hard to battle such force as that. So, therefore, I am in favor of the