

January 16, 1976

apology. However, sometimes he deserves a few remarks. I had to put that in there, John. What we have attempted to do with the bill, I think there has been some concern over who shares in discounts, and I think this is really some in-fighting that has taken place that I am not sure the consumer is at the heart of the issue of the bill. What I have suggested is to give you a clear cut opportunity, if you want discounts or if you want the present system. Now if you want discounts, the industry is going to have to be in a position to work those arrangements out. If you don't, then we don't have any problems at all. There is two ways you can go on this bill. You can either accept my amendment and pass the bill, or you can kill the bill, and if you want to know the true secret, the latter is a lot easier because the printing cost is less.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of Senator Lewis' amendment to LB 310. Record your vote. Please vote. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 3 ayes, Mr. President, 19 nays.

PRESIDENT: Motion fails. Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I move that LB 310 be advanced to E & R Initial, and I want to repeat. This is a consumer protection bill. It does not fix prices in any respect. An abstractor may charge any fee or give any discount he chooses so long as that fee and that discount are paid or received by the consumer. The abstractor may not, by this bill, give a discount, rebate or kickback, or whatever, to any person involved in the transaction other than the consumer. This is to say the abstractor may not rebate a part of the fee paid by the consumer to a lawyer, real estate agent or financial institution. I urge your support of this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: I move to indefinitely postpone LB 310. Signed Senator Frank Lewis.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: We haven't really identified the problem that we are seeking a solution to and I am reluctant to ask us to provide solutions to nebulous problems. I think that the bill is not, and I think Senator Dworak, after he researches the question a little more and he comes back with some more knowledge to the body, I think he would find they would be very receptive but a case has not been made or an issue hasn't been advanced to justify the passage of this bill. I think it has been much discussed. We have gone the horn seven times. The bill has been killed once, revived, killed, amended, looked at, perused, construed, and everything else and I think it is time that maybe we do that.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? The question...Senator Dworak.