

January 15, 1976

introduced by Senator Dworak. Read title. See page 239, Journal. LB 794 introduced by the Public Health and Welfare Committee and signed by the members thereof. Read title. See page 239 and 240, Journal. LB 795 introduced by Senator Kremer. Read title. See page 240, Journal. LB 796 introduced by Senator Swigart. Read title. See page 240, Journal. Mr. President, one notice of Committee Hearing, Public Works gives notice of a hearing set for January 22nd. Signed, Senator Kremer, Chairman. That is all, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: We are ready for LB 382, then.

CLERK: LB 382 introduced by Senator Duis and Senator Kremer. Read title. Mr. President, the bill was first considered on January 13th. There are no Committee amendments. There are extensive additional amendments pending on the bill. The first one is offered by Senator Duis, the introducer of the bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Would the Clerk read the amendment, please?

CLERK: This amendment is: Read. See page 240, Journal.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, I move for the adoption of the amendment. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this amendment is a corrective amendment that was brought to the attention of myself and other people by the Revenue Committee, and there was inadvertently put in the bill some language which would actually, if left in there, affect a double exemption, and consequently, we want to take that out because we want to be absolutely fair and all we are interested in is a single tax and we are not interested in anything further than that. The second part of the amendment is that it establishes a date so that there would be nothing happen during this current year. With that, I offer the explanation and I am sure, at least, I am quite sure there will be no objection to the amendment and so therefore I close my presentation.

PRESIDENT: Senator Burbach.

SENATOR BURBACH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, if LB 382 passes in its original form, this is a necessary amendment but I do have an amendment on the desk that would change LB 382 and I know that we cannot use that amendment if my amendment is adopted. So I am wondering if it wouldn't be proper to take first things first, even though this amendment has been in the Journal for one year. I think a determination should be made that we discuss the amendment, and then this amendment is no longer necessary, rather than go through the action of adopting this amendment, and if my amendment is successful, then repealing it. I throw this out for information of the two different approaches to this amendment at this time.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.