

January 15, 1976

states they prefer this because if fewer people come out, if fewer attention is paid, the better again for the incumbent, the better for the majority party. So I think that there is one other reason that I want to mention and that is campaign financing. Senator Koch said, well, this will make it easy because you can keep the campaign staff, the people working. Most of the campaign staff we have in the state of Nebraska are volunteers. You show me people that stay away from their jobs and work on major campaigns from July to November without a break. I tell you, this is one difficult job. It is a difficult enough job if you have them in the May primary and then you go on and you have to get them back together, if you win the primary, and you have to come back again in say September, October, at the end of September and the start of October, to November campaign. Financing is another matter. As a former political county chairman, as I mentioned, I know how difficult it is to get people to make contributions and it is getting more difficult, and with some of the laws we have on the books, it is going to be even more difficult. Now if you are going to push this together, if you are going to telescope the obtaining of political funds all in the one time of the year, there are going to be people making a one shot contribution and say, you just hit me up last month. If you think that I can give you any money again, you are crazy. This is what is going to happen. Now again, maybe some of the other states have had different ways of operating this. I am going to submit to you that with the changes in our campaign laws that this isn't going to be as easy as they formerly had in getting this money. So I think for all of these reasons plus the fact that as far as I know most of the election officials of the state of Nebraska have opposed this change very strongly. Secretary of State, Beerman, I know, is on record as having been very much opposed to both of these changes, as have, I believe, most of the county clerks and the election commissioners who have to do the work and I think we ought to listen to some of the people who have to do the work of administering these elections also. So for all these reasons, I oppose the proposed changes.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stull.

SENATOR STULL: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to ask a couple of questions of Senator Koch. Senator Koch, did I understand that the presidential election year you would have two primaries, one for the presidential candidates and then later on for the people that is running for other offices.

SENATOR KOCH: That is correct.

SENATOR STULL: And the other thing, when would you have this bill become effective?

SENATOR KOCH: 1980.

SENATOR STULL: I think that the other speakers have set forth plenty of reasons why this bill shouldn't be passed and I certainly would oppose it for the same reasons that have been given. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Swigart.

SENATOR SWIGART: Mr. President and members, I would also like to ask Senator Koch a question or two. Senator Koch, in your studies, did you not find that a candidate running for office generally plans a year in advance and he begins his campaign, and didn't you find that there would be a possible advantage from having a clearing house or a