

January 14, 1976

The bill does one other thing. It exempts, from the assessment of points, someone that's guilty of over width, over height, or over length. It would exempt that violation from the assessment of points. I believe those two things then is all that the bill does in its present form outside of changing the 2 points to 1 point, as we just did by the adoption of the committee amendments that relate to all other minor traffic violations, such as running a stop light, etc.

That is the bill. I move for its advancement.

CLERK: Mr. President, a motion on the desk.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Read the motion.

CLERK: Read Senator Goodrich's amendment found on page 228 in Journal.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President, members of the body. This motion is to give the body an opportunity to express itself on one point only, that is the \$10 maximum fine that we adopted last session for speeding over 55 up to 65. We put the \$10 fine on it, but we did not provide for costs ... for court costs. Since the judges get \$1 out of every fine that goes as a contribution to their retirement fund, that would automatically be solved by adding "plus costs" to that previous legislative effort that we put through last session. I'm suggesting that this is an opportunity for the Legislature to say, one way or the other, whether they want to add "plus costs" ... "court costs" to that \$10 fine that we provided for last year. That's a \$10 maximum fine.

I move the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR SAVAGE: I have four lights on. Anyone wish to talk on the Goodrich amendment? If not the question is shall the .... Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature. Even George Syas says 'Don't let them do it'. Senator Goodrich ... and so did Senator Dickinson. You'll see on the board when we vote that there are a lot of others who feel the same way.

We went through great and excruciating agony forging this amendment, obtaining its attachment to a bill. It was passed, the Governor vetoed it, and some hearty souls voted to override his veto. Not content the Legislature's will as expressed stand, the Governor asked the Attorney General, against our better advice--my consistent and incessant advice, he took it to the State Supreme Court to try to have the amendment declared unconstitutional.

I recognize that I haven't completed law school yet, and I'm not an attorney. The Attorney General not only has successfully completed law school and passed his Bar Exam, and served as the County Attorney for Lancaster County, he sits in the exalted position of Attorney General of the Great State of Nebraska. Nevertheless, in this encounter the Attorney General happens to have been wrong and I was correct, at least that's the vote of 5 intelligent judges on the State Supreme Court of Nebraska.