

January 13, 1976

CLERK: LB 210. Senator Dickinson's bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dickinson. We can get a lot done if you would stay near your desk because we are going to go through a number of bills in a hurry here. Senator Dickinson, LB 210.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Wasn't that bill bracketed last year?

PRESIDENT: Well, yes, what do you want to do with it?

SENATOR DICKINSON: Leave it bracketed.

PRESIDENT: Forever.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Until I ask otherwise.

PRESIDENT: All right. Let the record show, then, that that is not to come up again unless specifically requested by him. LB 225. Wait, there is a request for unanimous consent to bracket LB 210 until March 31st. Any objections? Seeing none, it is so ordered.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 225 by Senator Kelly and he is ready. Read title. The bill was first considered on March 17th, 1975 at which time the Committee amendments were adopted. The bill was indefinitely postponed. Thereafter, the bill was resurrected on a motion to reconsider. The bill was indefinitely postponed on March 17th. It was reconsidered on March 24th and that is where the bill is now. There is now a Duis amendment to LB 225.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, you can see the history of this bill is that this was controversial in Senator Cavanaugh's mind. He unwisely succeeded in postponing it. I very wisely resurrected it and it is back on General File but I do have objections to moving this on and having Senator Cavanaugh lose his opportunity to kill it on General File. That would be unfair because he would have to have 25 votes on Select, and on that basis, I would prefer to bracket this for Friday, and if the good Senator from Omaha can't make it on Friday, then let's move it.

PRESIDENT: He is present.

SENATOR KELLY: Let's go.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Duis. For what purpose, Senator Duis?

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, I would like to ask unanimous consent to withdraw my amendment.

PRESIDENT: Is there an objection? Do you object to that, Senator Kelly?

SENATOR KELLY: No, I have no objection to that.