

March 20, 1975

any length of time and I can remember when I was in the witness area, there was times when I was there for two and three days because the courts would delay and they would hold over witnesses and they wouldn't bring them back in so I don't think he is quite right in the area of a witness coming in and being able to leave in an hour or two. I think that it is unfair to reduce this down as Senator Syas says and I think we should leave it were it 's. Therefore, I would have to on this issue oppose his issue.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, only to say that this is a red letter day. In my thirteen years down here, it is the first time we have had any kind of a motion to reduce anybody's pay.

PRESIDENT: Are you ready for a close, Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I'd just close briefly. I'd say you got a simple decision. It is a matter of personal opinion and I know in a lot of cases witnesses do, in fact, get laid over and have to spend even several days and sometimes spend whole days. I think on the whole, though, the majority, the vast majority do not spend that amount of time and \$20 is excessive for the average person who serves as a witness. Now you are going to create, probably, some injustice for those people who do have to spend a longer period of time but on balance on all concerned, I think the \$10 is reasonable for what is generally required of a witness under those circumstances and that \$20 is excessive.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the Cavanaugh amendment. Record your vote. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 14 ayes, 15 nays.

PRESIDENT: The motion fails.

CLERK: A motion on your desk. I move to indefinitely postpone 287. Signed Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I gave my arguments against the bill the other day. It failed to get enough votes to indefinitely postpone and not enough votes were given to advance it. I know Senator Luedtke wants an opportunity to speak against the motion so I will save mine for closing.

PRESIDENT: Senator Luedtke.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, not to disappoint Senator Chambers, I obviously would want to oppose his motion to indefinitely postpone. This bill is very essential to the judicial system in Nebraska. Now I realize what Senator Chambers is going to say. I am going to anticipate. He is going to say, well, nothing will happen. The judicial system will go on whether we