

February 20, 1975

or September for approval or disapproval. I presume they went to DAS. Guess what, they have not been approved or disapproved yet. Now something has to be done in order to get rules and regulations so somebody knows what to do. Undoubtedly, they distributed money thinking that they did it in accordance with some type of rule and regulation, because I'm sure that formula was not put in the bill. Now what happens is this, and I've got to ask the fateful question now, and I'll ask it of Senator Marvel, or Clark, or Senator Lewis. Which one wants to answer? I'll pose the question and then they can answer it. When the Department of Education made the checks, or authorized the payment, did it or did it not have to be approved by DAS before it was paid?

SPEAKER: Senator Clark do you care to respond?

SENATOR CLARK: Did the payment have to be? The only thing that DAS . . .

SENATOR DUIS: All I'm saying is did or did not that payment have to be approved before it was paid? Did it have to be approved by DAS?

SENATOR CLARK: The voucher had to be approved to see if it was legally correct.

SENATOR DUIS: Thank you. Now then let's don't start blaming everybody. We're all in it, now let's get together and let's iron it out.

SPEAKER: I recognize Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: Mr. President, members of the body, I don't know how long you want to take on this. It's 12 o'clock now. I could talk on it for a couple hours I guess. The bill that Senator Lewis is bringing up here, for instance, has a formula in it as to how you're going to pay these people. For instance, each defective speech is \$70. Now you multiply that by 4, that would be \$280. According to the Department of Education they have 13,827 of these students. Now that's for a full year. For a full year you'd be \$3,871,000. You could do this with all of them in here and come up with a total of \$20 million pay-out, according to that particular formula. I do not agree with it, I do not think it's right. I'll go along with Senator Lewis that perhaps some payments should be done. I don't think this is the right bill. It's \$2 million additional money. He has not amended the appropriation bill, he's taken 292 out of the appropriations and reducing that appropriation in order to get this money, which is going to have to be done any way, in my way of thinking. I think if you could change the formula in this to where the total comes out at eight million six, reduce it by one payment, take the appropriation bill and amend it. Perhaps we could do that legally. This is not a legal way to do it and I talked to the Attorney General this morning and he said that . . . told me that bill had to be amended.