

February 5, 1975

get together and take an action, is that acceptable to the chairman?

SENATOR FOWLER: I have no objection to that. The timing might be difficult. We have a Committee Hearing this afternoon with five bills and I don't know if we will have time to get the input and then before Monday I don't know if we could schedule another meeting. I'd have to take a poll of the membership. We will have a chance a week from today. We have an executive session. We have no bills scheduled and we could deal with the question then.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I mean I don't have any--

SENATOR FOWLER: It seems Monday might work--for the Committee to get together Monday might be pressing.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, I think the Committee has some obligation to the Legislature. The questions that were raised on the floor apparently were not raised in Committee and I would think that we could handle it one of two ways. If the Committee were willing to get together and discuss it with the interested parties and come back with a Committee amendment on which they had voted on and make a report, perhaps we could leave the bill on General File and it wouldn't disturb me. If not, if the Committee isn't able or willing to do that, then I think that we probably should re-refer the bill to address the questions that were never addressed in the Committee Hearing.

SENATOR FOWLER: Why I think we would be willing to have a meeting. Again, I don't know if we could get a resolution of the question by next Monday so I don't know if Senator Stoney would be willing to like hold the bill for a week or a little more than a week. That would be most convenient for the Committee.

PRESIDENT: Reluctantly, the chair--Senator Stoney, the chair is going to have to rule that your motion is not in order as it is not responsive to the motion that was on the floor at the time. So the chair will rule the Stoney motion out of order and we now--the chair will rule that the Stoney motion is out of order.

CLERK: Pending, Mr. President, is the Bereuter motion that LB 171 be re-referred to a Committee, to Urban Affairs Committee.

PRESIDENT: Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR FRANK LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, in fairness to Senator Stoney, he has agreed to try to work your bill out the best way he can. Like everyone else I know, he wants his bills to move along without going back through the Committee process. I think that it would be unfair to him. I think it's unfair to the Committee. If that Committee can get together at some point in time and come back and put an amendment on the bill that is acceptable to them and to the Legislature, I think we are just as well off rather than referring the bill back to Committee and I think that Senator Stoney's has shown a willingness to work with people and I would be opposed to the referral amendment and would hope that we either do one of two things. We leave it on General File or we come back with a motion to let the Committee bring the amendment in at some particular point in time.

PRESIDENT: We still have under discussion the Bereuter motion