

January 29, 1975

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Keyes.

SENATOR KEYES: Mr. President, the last three gentlemen said they were going to talk very short and mine will be. I would like to ask a question of Senator Bereuter.

PRESIDENT: Are you making a statement?

SENATOR KEYES: No sir. I am asking a question of Senator Bereuter.

PRESIDENT: Then it will be for specific information.

SENATOR KEYES: Well, I am not asking about anything else--

PRESIDENT: All right. Then Senator Bereuter, I would ask that your answer be responsive rather than--.

SENATOR KEYES: Senator Bereuter, you were asking that a study committee be set up to study land-use. Now I've always supported that land-use was a proposition, was something the Ag Committee should study. I also feel that it should be a part of Urban Affairs. I want you to comment on this and also a part of Public Works. Now for the \$200,000 that Senator DeCamp says the Ag Committee has spent, do you feel that you are asking for this study committee to be set up because you are not satisfied with the results of the Ag Committee and the legislation that they have brought forth. That's all my question. I think it pertains to the bill.

PRESIDENT: Could you answer the question proposed.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes. My answer is yes.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The chair recognizes Senator Simpson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I think we have an issue here which is probably very important to a bunch of people in this Legislature because I am sure we have more than one idea on land-use even when we get down and say it ought to be on the state and local level and so we would get into an area of urban against rural and who gets the most benefit and I think we could argue that portion all day. The problem before us is the re-reference of a bill which was introduced and originally scheduled for reference to a committee other than Ag Committee but the chairman of the Ag Committee happens to be a member of the Reference Committee and asked that it should be changed and so the Committee did change this, as I suppose would be their prerogative even though the Rules say that they shall review and then have two choices, either send it to a standing committee or send it directly to the floor to General File and it doesn't say anything about they shall look and see what the contents are and if it is this, they should decide because we're not expecting them to be this type of people. We are just saying give it to a committee and I suppose we could then look at a hundred bills that have been referred and say they are referred to the wrong committee or they could have just as easily have gone to, at least, one other committee. This bill, I think, could easily be argument as going to maybe four or five different committees and you could make a point and case that they should go there. Now I think that the important point is here that maybe there is a concern