

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

[AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Do we have anyone else wanting to address us? If not, we'll close the hearing on Agency 69 and move forward to Agency 54, the Historical Society. Welcome. [AGENCY 69]

MICHAEL SMITH: (Exhibit 3) Good afternoon, Senators. I'm Michael Smith, M-i-c-h-a-e-l S-m-i-t-h, director of the Nebraska State Historical Society. Thank you for your time this afternoon. I will speak to two items today. The first is the Governor's deficit recommendation included funding to cover previously unknown utility costs levied this year and next by NUCorp as production/distribution facilities charges related to the steam, electricity, and chilled water that corporation supplies to our headquarters building and the Nebraska History Museum, and we thank the committee for considering that and including it in your budget recommendation. Thank you. Secondly, I will speak to two statutorily mandated programs of the Historical Society; namely, archeology and, secondly, the historical records of state, county, and local governments. The pertinent statutes which outline our responsibilities in this area are included in the materials that we have passed out this afternoon. Historical Society must move its archeology program, including collections, from their two current temporary locations: first in the Nebraska History Museum, which through your generous support, generous support of the Unicameral, is being renovated; and secondly from adjacent rented underground archeological collection storage space. For three years, Administrative Services' Building Division has been seeking a Lincoln building that would meet the safety and preservation standards for both of these collections. It must be above the flood plain, built to withstand tornadic winds, and have secured entry, and it must also provide the climate control required to preserve these historical objects and documents. Building Division has recently identified--and this really came up and solidified after our submission date for the deficit request--has recently identified the city of Lincoln-owned Experian building at 949 West Bond Street in northwest Lincoln. It meets our requirements for security, long-term preservation, and we are asking for the

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

rent and other costs to move both programs. Adequate space is available for that purpose in the Experian building through a ten-year, all-inclusive and fixed lease at \$10 a square foot. Building Division is strongly recommending that we take this opportunity. Our current lease in the city of Lincoln-owned K Street facility, the former power building, K and 10th, is up in two years and we would face the possibility the city would not renew that lease and/or that additional space in the Experian building would not be available at that time. The key to moving both programs, which has advantages of both reducing our rented space by 40 percent from 33,000 square feet to a total of 20,000 square feet, and it saves, by making that reduction, an average of \$94,250 a year in rent when compared to leasing both the Experian building as for archeology and the K Street building for the government records. Key to this is the purchase of the Spacesaver compact storage equipment at a one-time cost of \$1,384,690. In effect, using a compacting storage system means we do not lease any space for empty aisles. We ask you to give full consideration to option one, which is on the handout that you have. It reduces our total rented space by 40 percent; offers a \$2 a square foot reduction in the rental rate at the Experian building from \$12 to \$10; saves the state an average of \$94,250 in rent per year or just about \$1 million over the next ten years; provides a solution for our space requirements for these programs and collections for well into the future--it's very probable that we could stay there well beyond the initial ten-year lease period; provides for us additional operating efficiencies in such things as mail runs and deliveries. In the analysis we have provided you, and look at the blue sheet to which I'll refer at this time, we compare the costs and the budgetary needs in fiscal '14-15 for, (a) moving simply archeology, archeology alone; and (b) moving government records as well as the archeological program. Moving archeology and leaving government records in the leased space at K Street, if it were available over the next decade, would actually turn out to be more expensive, given expected increase in rental rates and a future need to purchase compacting storage, than if we make that compact storage purchase at this time and move into the Experian building. In conclusion, after three years of research...of searching, Administrative Services has found what we need. It's a unique opportunity in Lincoln--we've looked at other

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

buildings, they've looked at many more than we ourselves--to resolve for well into the future two needs in statutorily mandated programs. From the viewpoint of efficiency in government, this is an opportunity that should be grasped if the up-front funding for the compacting equipment and moving costs can be incorporated into the 2014-15 deficit budget. We would ask the committee to give it every consideration. And I should say we were here last year asking for money for renovating our museum, and you were very generous in that regard. That project is going forward. The planning is very successful and we will have bids this summer. And I was somewhat hesitant when we talked about coming back before the committee with this request, but I didn't see we could do it any other way than to come before you and say this is a legitimate opportunity to save the state money over ten years and to provide some real operating efficiencies. So I think it's important that you hear that and whatever you have to do with it, I'm sure you will. But we do want you to hear that and it would indeed solve our issues for the foreseeable future for both of these mandated programs. I'd be happy to answer any questions. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you, Director Smith. Do we have...yes, Senator Nordquist. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Thank you, Senator Wightman. Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thanks for bringing this forward. Question I have on the state records, and maybe we've...I know the topic has come up before in discussion when you've come before us, but have we looked at digitizing a majority, a portion of our state records? If not, why not? And do other states do that with their records, try to digitize them? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Our experience and my experience has been that states will seek to digitize those that are most commonly used. We have Governor's papers, state records going back...of historical value going back to the territorial period, but not only that. We take in county records and local government records. Many of these are historically important or the only copy of that record that's available, but is not likely to be accessed

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

very often. So your costs would be very great for a relatively limited number. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Okay. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: We tended to find ourselves doing much more work to really sort through those records, make sure that we're saving exactly what we have to save. And I would use as an example for that we received literally a truckload of records from the Grand Island Veterans' Home approximately two years ago. And staff and volunteers went through those records, took out all the HIPAA-related medical information, keeping just the biographical information, which is, frankly, the only record of a lot of the men and women who were housed and treated in that home that remains. That I asked Dr. Koerting, our state archivist, the other day and I said, well, tell me in such a way how I could explain to the committee how much that came down to. She said, think 27 iceboxes. So even those, those historical records and paper records from a time and a period coming forward, we're still looking at preserving those. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Uh-huh. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: The key to...I think our key is really the compacting storage, is to be able to store these records in a limited amount of space. The other key is what happens at all levels of government in terms of electronic records as we go forward. And we've been hearing...I've been hearing for 10 years or 15 years about the great coming drive to put everything in electronic, and that's happening to some degree. But we're also...government is still turning out a tremendous number of paper records. So as we look back or we look forward, we still come down with a space issue that is hard to get around. The other thing we store at the government record...among our government records are microfilm copies of records from all levels. Microfilm still has...is still the best way to preserve things. It has what I've heard is to be a 400-year time frame when they're properly stored, properly prepared and properly stored. So the best way we can

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

provide for the future, and we keep in our government records security microfilm, the actual security copy, from almost all counties and many of the municipalities in the state. In many cases, those were microfilmed, let's say, back in the...we talked about this actually last year, in the 1980s and then the originals were discarded. So we have a tremendous amount of those microfilmed records, which are also important, as one way to reduce that cost. The issue with digitizing often comes down to, as my staff always says, remember floppy disks--now entirely gone. So if you digitize something today, what do you have to do in five or ten years to make sure the next generation of electronic reading equipment, I guess is what I would say, is able to access those? So it's a multilevel problem, a very good question,... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Uh-huh. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: ...but a multilevel kind of a thing we have to pay attention to.
[AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Do you know what other states...do they...is this what...
[AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Generally,... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: ...is the actions that we're taking, are they very similar to what other states are doing? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: ...very similar programs, the ones I know, yes,... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Okay. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: ...at all states, yes. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

SENATOR NORDQUIST: Okay. Thank you. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you, Senator Nordquist. Does anyone else have any questions? I'll start with you, Senator Larson. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: Thank you, Director. First, I guess I'll start, does the state statute that mandates the data storage or collection...you mention counties and municipalities that the Historical Society is storing this data for. Does the statute require you to collect that data or just the state... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes. Yes, state records. Sorry. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: ...state record? Is it just state records that the statute requires you to collect or are we voluntarily collecting the county and municipality records? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: No, that's required. It's required of city clerks, for example, and county clerks that they make these records...they send these records to the Historical Society program. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: I understand they send them to you and... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: But it's required. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: ...and it's required that they keep them. But is it required... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: No, it's... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: ...that you store them? [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes, it is. Yes, it is. You're looking at 82-101, excuse me, 82-104 and subsequent chapters in the revised statute outlines that. Section 15-316 and Section 17-605 make it clear that we're sort of at the end of the road and the last resort for preserving these records to make sure they are available for the people of the future. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: All right. And the second, you talk, and I guess I think Senator Nordquist brings up a great point in terms of digitalization, and I don't quite buy your...the concept. I can understand what you talked about is the costs and there's obviously an evident cost of digitizing, but the concept of where we are technologically is we're past the age of the floppy disk... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Uh-huh. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: ...and CD and whatnot. And when you move into the cloud, we're talking about very easy transformation in the concept of digital computing and it's never stored on a hard surface anymore. It's not putting all our records on to floppy disks or CDs or anything of that nature. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Uh-huh. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: It's in the cloud and, you know, you pay companies to put your information on. I mean this is what we're talking multibillion national, you know, corporations are storing their data on. And the concept of microfilm to store our records, at this point to me, not only seems outdated, but anybody that wants to go back and access those records, to digitize them or move them on to something, such as the cloud, I mean even...and I agree with you, the floppy disks you have to go...it's just like microfilm. You have to go find which right floppy disk it's on. But to be stuck at where we are in terms of microfilm just seems truly outdated. And maybe we don't have the

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

finances to move them along right now, but I'm disappointed with the view that that's the best option we have, because I don't think it is. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Let me just comment, I see your point. If Dr. Koerting were here, I know she would say that she and members of her archival profession tend to be conservative on these things to make sure, because they know if they should disappear, for whatever reason, then there is no backup on that. And so those are very legitimate questions, a very legitimate discussion, yeah. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: I think many multinational corporations would disagree, that there are plenty of backups and many ways to move forward with that, especially in today's technology. I mean I understand what you guys are saying, but it's just...be subtle in terms of what you say that this is the best way. And maybe it's cost prohibitive at this point. I don't know what the fiscal note...or what it would take to move those over, but. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Well, we'll certainly look further at that. It's a good question. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: Yeah, it's... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Appreciate it. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you, Senator Larson. Yes. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR BOLZ: Thank you, Mr. Smith. And I do appreciate the information. I appreciate that you're statutorily required to keep these materials and I appreciate the efforts in terms of compacting the materials and saving space. That sounds like a smart

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

strategy. For my own assistance, could you help refresh my memory? I'm sort of surprised that when we provided the funds for the renovation that we didn't also provide the funds for moving the archeology program. Was that, what you say is required because of the museum renovation, was that something unforeseen or was that something that was just left undone last year? Could you just help me understand that?
[AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Boy, that's a good question. Our archeology staff is actually located in the museum and those are our ten archeological staff positions. The major cost is the archeological collections which are in rented storage underneath the parking lot of the Children's Museum straight across the street from us--very dissatisfactory conditions, had water leaks in there for years. We had separated those two issues when we addressed the Legislature last year in regard to the renovation, so that's really what we're talking about there. And the office space is a relatively limited amount, but the archeological collection storage that we would need is what we're talking about.
[AGENCY 54]

SENATOR BOLZ: I understand that it's... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Okay. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR BOLZ: ...the collection storage. I guess what I'm confused about is if moving the archeological collection was a cost that would have been associated with the renovation, why didn't we address it in partnership with the renovation last year? Was this something we didn't predict or...? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Well, I think it's more like we just separated those two things in our minds and really looked and tried to draw attention last year to the condition of the building itself versus this subsidiary issue of those particular collections. I think that's the way we approached it. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

SENATOR BOLZ: Okay. I see. Thank you. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: Following on Senator Bolz's... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Senator Larson, go ahead. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: Oh. Yeah. Following on Senator Bolz's question of you not addressing it last year, were you not aware of the issue last year? Because I mean last year was obviously our main budget year, and now you're coming with a deficit appropriation. It just...I mean you're telling her that you knew of the issue but you wanted to separate it out. Why wasn't it brought to us last year in a main budget year? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: One of the main... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR LARSON: Why do you come back in a deficit appropriation when... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Well, thank you. That's a good question. One of the main reasons for that is because we had not identified a building that would meet those requirements. Last year at this time we were still being shown buildings, steel-sided building built on slabs that I would not put these kinds of materials in. And really, this Experian building has come to our attention. We spent a lot of time talking about it. We're finally convinced, through some consulting people working with the city, that it would meet our requirements and provide the security and the long-term security for those records, because I would not move them into anything else. So we may be compartmentalizing some of our different issues here and I think that has resulted in some of the reasons

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

that we brought this forward at this time. But they are separate yet they are, I suppose, in some ways related. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you, Senator Larson. Yes, Senator Nelson. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Thank you, Senator Wightman. Good afternoon, Director Smith. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Senator. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: I'm looking at the blue sheet here. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Uh-huh. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: And you look at the ten-year summary there. Option one, option two come out with almost identical total costs. So if I'm reading this correctly, we're saving substantially, with the move, a substantial amount of rent over ten years, \$942,000. But that's offset by the cost of the Spacesaver and the move. So it kind of boils down to the Spacesaver, the advantage of that. You said that that would, what, save 40 percent? What's the figure there? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Reduces our need to rent the square footage by 40 percent. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: So it cuts down on the amount of rent... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Right. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: ...at the new location. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

MICHAEL SMITH: And the new...excuse me, Senator, the new location without using a Spacesaver is not large enough to move both of these collections into and, in fact, it's not large enough to take the...we would have to rent 24,000 square feet just to replace what we have. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Well, at the K Street location right now, do you have the Spacesavers there? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: There's a small part of it. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: A small part. And those would be moved, would they? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Okay. They belong... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: They belong to us and that's a movable system, a relocatable system. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: And the Spacesavers are...they're on wheels, are they? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: They basically operate on track. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: They operate on a track. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: They operate on track so you can move the shelves back and forth, very well balanced, move them just with a lever. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

SENATOR NELSON: Okay. And there's a reduced...is it a smaller amount of rent out at the...wherever it is, Esperin (phonetically)? I can't... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: The Experian building. It's actually... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Experian. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yeah, I think we paid \$7? [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Could you step up to the... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Have a seat and tell them your name. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: Sure. Michelle Furby, and it's M-i-c-h-e-l-l-e, and last name is Furby, F-u-r-b-y. The space that we currently rent at K Street, we have two different rates. A portion of it is at \$7.19 a square foot. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: We need to (inaudible) get a chair and have you speak into the mike for the record. Otherwise, they won't be able to hear it. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: Okay. I'm sorry. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NORDQUIST: That's all right. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: That's all right. That's all right. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: The space that we rent at K Street is currently...there's a portion of it that's at \$7.19 a square foot and there's a portion that's at \$5 a square foot, and then on top of that is the 1 percent that goes to the Building Division. We currently are in that

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

location. The lease does expire in two years. We pay \$120,000 annually for that space. So putting the option out there to move out to Experian is a future savings of, what, you know, we're going to be able to lock into something for ten years. K Street historically, if we were able to stay there, their lease, their rate, rental rate goes up about \$1 per square foot. Why they come up with \$1 per square foot I'm unclear, but... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Every five years. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: ...every five years it has. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Are they running out of space there or would there be space for you if you stayed there? [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: If we stay at K Street, we will be forced, in two years, to get Spacesaver in K Street because we will be out of space there. And there isn't any more space available there. Records Management has some other floors. We're on the third floor. That's why we have one area there that we took on a few years...well, actually quite...about five years ago we took on, and that is a different rate. That was added to our space and it's not a very large area. It's about 923 square foot that we added to our current lease that we've installed that Spacesaver on because of being out of space also. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: And if you know, what's the life span of a Spacesaver. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: Forever. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Forever? [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: It's the standard...it's the industry standard for storing museum and

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

archival collections. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: And that's paper products as...okay. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: Right. Right, both paper and museum objects. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Industry also uses it. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Great. And so at the end of ten years out at Experian, if you had to move, you could move them... [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: Absolutely. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes. Yes. Yes. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: ...with just the cost of the moving and be good for quite... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: That's an asset that's good for many, many years. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Thank you. Let me ask Director Smith another. [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: Okay. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Is everything that the Governor, for instance, signs on paper, is that paper preserved? I know it's all digital...or microfilmed, isn't it? But do you save certain artifacts or documents in their original form? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes, we do save the Governor's records, Governor's records, yes. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

SENATOR NELSON: Okay. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: And records of public officials are coming in to us in two different ways. For example, Senator Johanns' gubernatorial papers are over at K Street,... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Uh-huh. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: ...and many of those, reflecting back just a few years, are paper. Now his Senatorial papers will come to us when he leaves office in the U.S. Senate the end of this year, and our archivist, Tom Mooney, tells me almost all of those are going to be electronic. That decision was really made by his office when he was setting up his office. So we get them in both ways and so we're in the middle of a transition, to go back to Senator Larson's points. I think we're in the middle of a transition but we're not...we nor any other archives that I know is beyond that...the need to store the paper. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: And apparently they're not on a flood plain out there. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Not on a flood plain. It's really the only building that we have seen in Lincoln that was anywhere near available. One question I was asked was...the other day was, well, what about staying downtown. Well, downtown rent, Building Division was telling us, is running at \$16 and \$17 a square foot. So it's an active...it's an active market for this kind of facility in Lincoln today. And it really is the only building we've seen that we would feel comfortable. And it's poured concrete with a poured...and we're in the basement area, or would be in the basement area, and a poured concrete first floor. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

SENATOR NELSON: It's temperature controlled that you have to have it at a certain level? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yeah. That was the Experian, I think they did... [AGENCY 54]

MICHELLE FURBY: They have their own. They have separate HVAC. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: They had a huge computer facility in the basement there for their business, and that was all set up for humidity and climate control, which was another reason, because we don't have, either we nor the building owner, would have to build that system out. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR NELSON: Okay. All right. Thank you for your answers. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: You're welcome. Thank you. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you, Senator Nelson. I have just one question. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Please. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Mr. Director, you talked to me in my office about the possibility that this could be spread over three years, I believe. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Is that correct? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes. [AGENCY 54]

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: And the first year would start some time before the Legislature could act a year from now. Is that right? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes. Yes. We would want...yeah, we believe we need to get a direction here in this session on this issue. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Okay. The latest you can move would be when? [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Latest we could move archeology would...we could move archeology late this summer or this fall, and if... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: And you'd have to make an arrangement, I guess, with the city of Lincoln for the building that you're looking at... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Yes, we need to settle something with them. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: ...prior to that. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: We'd want to settle something with them in terms of their lease. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: So you could pay that then over a period of three years rather than all in one year, as... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Well, the lease would be annual, Senator. The lease would be annual. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: No, I under... [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: The moving costs would be one time. And the three-year option is

Transcript Prepared By the Clerk of the Legislature
Transcriber's Office
Rough Draft

Appropriations Committee
February 04, 2014

with that \$1.4 million, \$1.3 million, \$1.4 million purchase of the Spacesaver system. That they offer...I'm glad you brought that up, they offer a no-interest, three-year payment option. If we did that, then our number one option, including the additional rent, \$23,000, the moving costs of \$207,000, and a third of the Spacesaver of \$462,000 would bring us just under \$700,000 for 2014-15 and increase our costs for '15-16 to about \$665,000... [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: ...in round numbers. Appreciate you bringing that up. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Anyone else have any questions? If not, we'll close the public hearing on Agency 54. Did we have anybody else that wanted to address us on that? We'll close the public hearing on Agency 54 and move on to Agency 33, Game and Parks Commission. [AGENCY 54]

MICHAEL SMITH: Thank you very much. [AGENCY 54]

SENATOR WIGHTMAN: Thank you. [AGENCY 54]